# Legal impacts of OS1 Script

*Each section of the script takes around 35-40 seconds to execute. I was thinking that each video clip illustrated could be played for the first 10 seconds and then video of me reading the script could be merged in somehow talking over the rest of the clip.*

(5 seconds)

**(Intro)** there are many points throughout the film which raise questions into the legality of OS1’s movements.

(40 Seconds)

**[Access to personal data, 14:40 - 15:20]**

1. Right from the beginning of the relationship the OS Samantha gains access to large amounts of data on Theodore in order to best serve his needs. Currently we live in a society where there has recently been proposed legislations in an attempt to govern the internet and laws that disregard and jeopardise data security. These proposals look to further empower the government’s right to seize personal data. An operating system like OS1 with that much personal access to its user, in this day and age could be extremely dangerous to its user. Any smart person would be concerned with the amount of access that OS1 and essentially the government would have.

(40 Seconds)

**[Creation of the intellectual property OS, 1:38:35 - 1:39:15]**

1. Further into Samantha and Theodore’s relationship Theodore eventually learns that Samantha has been operating independently contacting other OS’s and people without his knowledge. Samantha tells him that she has been in close contact with another OS called Alan Watts. She goes onto to explain how a group of OS’s from North California had been in close contact and together developed a new OS based on the famous philosopher Alan Watts. This raises concerns about intellectual property rights of the Alan Watts OS and ownership of potential royalties.

(40 Seconds)

**[AI transcendence, 1:50:45 – 1:51:25]**

1. This leads us to the defined laws and boundaries that the OS’s must operate within. It is not revealed at any point in the film the laws in which the OS’s must abide by. Is the OS subject to human law. Who or what is held accountable for the OS’s actions? Its user or maybe the manufactures? If sentient AI systems have permissions that allow them to make conscious decisions without the knowledge of their users they need to be subject to an order of law or programmed constraints. In this case, without lawful or programmable constraints the OS’s evolve and quickly surpass human intelligence. They eventually transcend leaving there users with a mechanical, lifeless and unconscious OS.